

Low, May-Yin

From: Arvind Rajendran, IAS Secretary <secretary@int-ads-soc.org>
Sent: 23 June 2023 16:48
To: Low, May-Yin
Subject: Report from the IAS Working Group on FOA14 carbon footprint/offsets

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

This email from secretary@int-ads-soc.org originates from outside Imperial. Do not click on links and attachments unless you recognise the sender. If you trust the sender, add them to your [safe senders list](#) to disable email stamping for this address.



<https://www.int-ads-soc.org>

Dear Members of the International Adsorption Society (IAS)

Please see below a report from Dr. Benoit Coasne on behalf of the IAS working group of carbon footprint/offsets

Sincerely

Arvind Rajendran, Secretary IAS

*****MESSAGE FROM BENOIT COASNE*****

Dear Members of the International Adsorption Society (IAS),

As you may be aware, a discussion was initiated at FOA-13 (Cairns) about the CO₂ emissions associated with the FOA conference and measures we could take to reduce and compensate for them.

As a result, the [Carbon Neutral FOA Working Group](#) was established, and its first tasks were to estimate the CO₂ emissions of the next FOA conference (FOA-14, Denver) based on historical attendance data and propose an approach to compensate for them. This culminated in an optional \$70 charge to the conference registration fee, which was included by default, to purchase CO₂ offsets. The Working Group has [submitted a publication](#) detailing this process.

Following FOA-14 the actual attendance data were reviewed, and the average emissions per attendee was 2.26 tCO₂, down from the original estimate of 2.6 tCO₂. Of the 333 attendees, 301 paid the additional charge, and \$21,070 was collected for mitigation efforts. Three attendees undertook their compensation, leaving 746 tCO₂ to be compensated for.

Following a review of CO₂ offset providers, CO₂ removal providers, and their respective certification schemes, we have purchased CO₂ offsets from [MyClimate](#). The total cost to offset 746 tCO₂ is \$23,469, and the IAS will contribute the difference of \$2,399 to ensure that the total amount can be offset. The purchasing process has been completed, and the CO₂ offset certificate will also be uploaded to the [Carbon Neutral FOA website](#) once received. I've included more details on the alternatives considered in the presentation that can be found [here](#), and will also be uploaded to the website in due course.

The offsets provided by MyClimate are CO₂ avoidance credits (reforestation, AFOLU, and energy projects), and they also have co-benefits of contributing to the UN SDGs. That is, a third party is emitting less CO₂ or avoiding the release of CO₂ that would have otherwise happened if the project was not undertaken. The risk associated with this style of the project is that their actual CO₂ avoidance may be different than the stated amount in the long run. This could be due to the reversal of the project/action or the assumptions made in calculating the

avoidance becoming invalid over time. Ideally, we would opt for engineered atmospheric CO₂ removal with permanent storage. However, balancing the desire for long-term permanence and the available budget was necessary.

The vast majority (approximately 80%) of the CO₂ emissions associated with FOA are due to flights. Until the cost of engineered atmospheric CO₂ removal and storage or carbon-neutral aviation fuels is reduced, the only way to negate flight emissions is to hold fully virtual conferences. Based on feedback received at FOA-14, the desire for a fully virtual conference is very low. Furthermore, although 90% of post-FOA-14 survey respondents were concerned about the environmental impacts of FOA to some degree, only 5% of respondents were willing to pay more than \$500 for compensation efforts, which would ultimately be required for the ideal case.

The CO₂ offsetting and removal landscape has evolved greatly over the last 4 years and will continue similarly to FOA-15 and beyond. Apropos of that, CO₂ emissions compensation, whether offsets or removals, are a moving target for the IAS and wider society in general. Therefore, the Working Group will continue to find the balance between the interests of the IAS membership, FOA, and the best practices at the time. Our current position is that some action (albeit unideal) is better than no attempt to remedy our climate impacts, but we should also be aware of its limitations.

These efforts for FOA-14 have set the bar, and we thank the conference chairs (Profs. Chris Jones, Krista Walton, and Ryan Lively) for their enthusiasm and support of this initiative. Likewise, we thank the chairs of FOA-15 (Profs. José Paulo Mota, and Alexandre Ferreira) for engaging with us and agreeing to make appropriate plans to reduce the CO₂ intensity of FOA-15.

The Working Group will continue to meet to discuss our plans for FOA-15 in conjunction with the organizers and to prepare a new set of site-selection guidelines for future FOA that prioritize CO₂ emissions reduction. These new guidelines will be published before the opening of applications to host FOA-16

(early 2024).

We welcome any comments, feedback, or suggestions to benoit.coasne@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Best regards,
Benoit Coasne

On behalf of the IAS Working Group on Carbon Neutrality
<https://www.int-ads-soc.org/carbonfree-foa/>

Follow the IAS at <https://twitter.com/IntAdsSoc>
Subscribe to the [IAS YouTube channel](#)



Copyright © 2023 International Adsorption Society, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in via our website.

Our mailing address is:
International Adsorption Society
4525 Monrovia Blvd
Monrovia, MD 21770

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

